also by Catesby, but merely in a bibliographic sense, and applied by Catesby to a wholly different plant—as we see it today. This old Plukenet name now became a synonym. To this treatment was added the phrase from Clayton, which was in no sense a name or synonym, but merely a translation into Latin by Gronovius of the original English descriptive notes which accompanied the numbered (and unnumbered) specimens. It is quite evident from the much longer descriptive account of Ruellia (Fl. Virg. p. 73) that such descriptive notes were not specific names according to the Linnaean method under which Gronovius worked:

Ruellia foliis petiolatis, fructu sessili conferto. Linn. Hort. Cliff. p. 318. n. 1.

Ruellia strepens, capitulis comosis. Dill. Hort. Elth. p. 300. T. 240. f. 321.

Ruelliae Species flore amplo coerulea inferne tubulato, superiore in quinque segmenta expanso, cito marcescente, in summo caule & ad nodos florens, foliis oblongis hirsutis serratis ex adverso binis, vasculo longo rotundo, bicapsulari, semine compresso. Clayt. n. 85 & 9842.

(To be continued)

Senecio Smallii Britton, forma tristis, f. nov., ligulis nullis.—Virginia: a single clump at the dry border of "Ram-hole Swamp," Seward Forest, near Triplett, Brunswick Co., May 12, 1945, Fernald, no. 14,859 (TYPE in Herb. Gray.).

As I have elsewhere predicted, sooner or later a discoid form may be found in any member of § Aurei, so that the character "discoid", as opposed to "radiate", is not a sound one for use in keys.—M. L. Fernald.

⁴² A description of Ruellia strepens was first given by Linnaeus in Mantissa 2: 422. 1771.

a transfer to the transfer of the second of